1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Kathy L. Osborn (pro hac vice) Ryan M. Hurley (pro hac vice) FAEGRE BAKER DANIELS LLP 300 N. Meridian Street, Suite 2700 Indianapolis, IN 46204 Telephone: +1 317-237-0300 Facsimile: +1 317-237-1000 kathy.osborn@FaegreBD.com ryan.hurley@FaegreBD.com  Calvin L. Litsey (SBN 289659) FAEGRE BAKER DANIELS LLP 1950 University Avenue, Suite 450 East Palo Alto, CA 94303-2279 Telephone: +1 650-324-6700 Facsimile: +1 650-324-6701 calvin.litsey@FaegreBD.com  Attorneys for Defendants Thomson Consumer, Inc. and Thomson SA	Jeffrey S. Roberts (pro hac vice) FAEGRE BAKER DANIELS LLP 3200 Wells Fargo 1700 Lincoln Street Denver, CO 80203 Telephone: +1 303-607-3500 Facsimile: +1 303-607-3600 jeff.roberts@FaegreBD.com
13		
14	UNITED STATES	DISTRICT COURT
15	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
16	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION	
17	IN RE CATHODE RAY TUBE (CRT) ANTITRUST LITIGATION	No. 07-cv-5944-JST MDL No. 1917
18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	This Document Relates to:  Sharp Electronics Corp., et al. v. Hitachi Ltd., et al., No. 13-cv-1173;  Sears, Roebuck & Co., et al. v. Chunghwa Picture Tubes, Ltd., et al., No. 11 -cv-05514	[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO FILE UNDER SEAL EXHIBITS ATTACHED TO SHARP'S RESPONSE TO THOMSON AND TDA DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO CONTINUE AND SET TRIAL DATES
26		
27		
28		
	[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING ADMINISTRATIVE	1 No 07-5944-IST: MDL No 191

## Case 4:07-cv-05944-JST Document 4632-5 Filed 05/05/16 Page 2 of 2

1	Upon consideration of the Administrative Motion To File Under Seal pursuant to Civil	
2	Local Rules 7-11 and 79-5 ("Administrative Motion") submitted in connection with Sharp's	
3	Response to Thomson and TDA Defendants' Notice of Motion and Motion to Continue and Set	
4	Trial Dates ("Sharp's Response"), and the declaration filed in support of the Administrative	
5	Motion it is hereby:	
6	ORDERED that the Administrative Motion is GRANTED; and it is further	
7	ORDERED that the Clerk shall file and maintain under seal the unredacted versions of	
8	Exhibits C and D attached to Sharp's Response and the Declaration of Jeffrey S. Roberts In	
9	Support of Sharp's Administrative Motion.	
10		
11	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
12		
13	DATED: Hon. Jon S. Tigar	
14	United States District Judge	
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	I IDDODOCEDI ODDED CDANTINC ADMINICTDATIVE A No. 07 5044 ICT. MINI NO. 1017	